Statement-II

Sl. No.	Name of the Bulk Drug	Unit	Previous price (Rs.)	Revised price (Rs.)	Reduced (with effect from)
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Acetazolamide	Kg.	746·00	735·64	14--4-1990
2	Mebhydroline Naphadisylate .	Kg.	1132·18	1010.00	9-8-1990
3	Salbutamol Sulphate . . .	gms.	16·02	7·20	15-10-1990
4	Oxytetracyline Hcl. . . .	Kg.	822·00	750.00	19-10-1990
5	Phentoin Sodium . . .	Kg.	261·06	236.00	23-1-1991
6	Metronidazole	Kg.	482·00	428·00	1-2-1991
7	Metronidazole Benzoate . .	Kg.	511·00	380·00	1-2-1991
8	Ibuprofen	Kg.	502·00	450·00	1-2-1991
9	Amoxycillin Triphydrate . .	Kg.	2660·00	2093·00	11-2-1991
10	Analgin	Kg.	280·00	251·00	26-2-1991
11	Sulphacetamide . . .	Kg.	352·00	350·30	7-3-1991
12	Sulphamethoxazole . . .	Kg.	390·00	340·00	7-3-1991
13	Methyldopa	Kg.	2148.00	1967·00	7-3-1991
14	Isoxuprin Hcl.	Kg.	8997·00	6401·00	7-3-1991
15	Sulphamoxole	Kg.	430·00	399·00	3-6-1991
16	Rifampicin	Kg.	5201·00	4946·00	24-1-1992

Non action on complaints against Officers of Special Team

1456. SHRI H. HANUMANTHAPPA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to answer to Unstarred question 376 given in Rajya Sabha on 30th April, 1992 and state;

(a) what are the reasons for non action on the complaints against officers concerned when specific complaints were received regarding manipulation of amounts and figures and prima facie omissions and commissions were established;

(b) whether it is also a fact that direction of the former Minister to fix-responsibility has also been ignored to protect the guilty bureaucrats;

(c) if so, what are the details of those direction and when the same were issued;

(d) when would the revised tentative calculations be completed and what are the names of companies who have still to provide complete details;

(e) what action has been taken against the defaulting companies?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) to (e) On receipt of complaints alleging irregularities in the computations made by the Special Team, it was decided in 1989 to review all their recommendations and the events thereafter. Accordingly revised tentative calculations have since been made in most of cases and communicated to the concerned companies. For the remaining the revised calculations will be made soon after receipt of complete details which have already been asked for. The companies have been informed that in case they do not supply the data, the Government will be left with no alternative but to compute their liabilities on the basis of whatever data bacomes available from wherever. The question of any company being let off does not arise. All efforts will be made to effect the recoveries as legally permissible. While reasse ssing the liabilities on tentative basis, the liabilities have been computed strictly in accordance with the provisions of DPCOs, judgements of the Courts and guideines approved by the Government in 1990. Most of the companies against whom the huge tentative liabilities have been worked out have gone to different High Courts against the revised tentative liabilities. The question of taking action against the officers concerned and fixing responsibility would arise only after the liabilities of the companies are finally determined.

Amount due under DPEA

1457. SHRI H. HANUMANTHAPPA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) what is the latest position of amounts due from drug companies under DPEA on 10th July, 1992;

(b) what are the details of recoveries made from 1st January, 1992 upto date;

(c) what are the liabilities which have been assessed from 1st January, 1992 to 30th June, 1992;

(d) whether it is a fact that from 1st April, 1991 only hearings have been given and favours have been obtained from the companies in various shapes including agencies in favour of relatives of the officers;

(e) if so, what are the names of officers whose relations are engaged in the distribution of pharmaceutical companies;

(f) what are the details of hearings given, names of the companies, dates of hearing and outcome of each hearing; and

(g) what are the names of companies whose cases are still pending in various courts on DPEA issues and what is the latest position?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) and (c). The latest position of amounts due from drug companies, under DPEA on 10th July, 1992 including liabilities assessed from 1st January, 1992 to 30th June, 1992 has bean given in Rajya Sabha Starred question No. 126 answered on 16th July, 1992.

(b). No amout has been recovered from 1st January, 1992 upto date.

(d) to (f). On the request of the individual companies as well as on the directives of the High Courts, the hearings have been given to some companies. The names of companies with other details are given in the Statement—I ((*See below*) There is no information regarding favours obtained from these companies by the officers.

(g): The names of companies whose cases are still pending in Courts with other details are given in Statement—II.